

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,816	10/19/2000	Alan Rozich	PROGRA 3.0-006	5396
	7590 05/07/2003			
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			EXAMINER	
			BARRY, CHESTER T	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			[Amiliaant/a]	170
· .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/691,816	ROZICH, ALAN	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chester T. Barry	1724	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•
Period fo	<b>r Reply</b> Drtened Statutory Period for I	DEDLVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	AAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  It is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON as statute. Cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communical  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>08 April 2003</u> .		
2a)□		∑ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 16</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7-1 is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 16</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		the Eveniner	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be neid in abey	disapproved by the Examiner	
11)∐ 	The proposed drawing correction filed or		disapproved by the Examinor.	
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are require			
· -	The oath or declaration is objected to by	tile Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(u) of (i).	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do		A No. a Aliana Alia	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			
*	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
	<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign langu</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li> </ul>	age provisional application has	been received.	
Attachme				
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	9-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/691,816

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 16, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support for the notion that not more than insubstantial amount of biological reaction takes place in the chemical treatment tank. The skilled artisan would have expected biological reaction of the unconverted organic compounds to have continued in the chemical treatment tank because: Applicant transfers unconverted organic compounds and viable albeit settled biosolids from the upstream reaction tank to the downstream tank and then adds an oxidation agent, e.g., oxygen. One would have expected additional biological reaction to have continued in this downstream chemical treatment tank. The mere fact that chemical (i.e., non-biological) reactions take place there does not necessarily mean biological reactions does not simultaneously take place there. See Tsumura for an example of this point. Further, there is nothing about claim 16 step (c) requirement that the ORP be greater than 0 mV (i.e., positive) that prohibits or prevents further not insubstantial biological reaction from taking place. In this regard, please see, for example, Fig 2 and col 3 lines 35-50 of USP 5582734 to Coleman for substantial evidence that a positive ORP and furtherance of not insubstantial amounts of biological reaction and not mutually exclusive concepts.

7033065921

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER